



COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference Nos 210/D/341  
210/D/342

In the Matter of Gowgove Common  
(part to the north), Parfhill,  
Wimborne District, Dorset

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registration at Entry No. 1 in the Land Section and at Entry No. 1 in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. CL 125 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Dorset County Council and is occasioned by Objection No. 346 made by Mr Henry John Ralph Bankes and noted in the Register on 9 August 1972.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Poole on 19 June 1980. At the hearing Mr H J R Bankes was represented by Mrs R Mackworth solicitor consultant with Gregory Rowcliffe & Co, Solicitors of London.

The land ("the Unit Land") in this Register Unit is a tract of about 2.5 acres. It was registered pursuant to an application made by Mr William John Lacy. His application included other land to the south provisionally registered as common land as Register Unit No. CL 59. In the Rights Section, the only registration is of a right of common pasture for 50 cattle over the Unit Land and the CL 59 land registered also on the application of Mr Lacy. I have a letter dated 21 November 1979 written on behalf of Mr Lacy by Gilbert H Stephens & Sons, Solicitors of Exeter, in which they withdraw his application for the said CL 59 and CL 125 registrations.

This hearing relating to the Unit Land was held shortly after a hearing on the same day relating to disputes about the said CL 59 land; at such hearing evidence was given on behalf of Mr Bankes; my decision on such hearing is of even date and is made under Reference Nos 210/D/338-340. Upon the evidence so given, and in the absence of any evidence in support of the registration so made on the application of Mr Lacy, I conclude that they were not properly made and accordingly I refuse to confirm the registrations.

I am required by regulation 39(1) of the Commons Registration Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this 17th day of July 1980

a. a. Baden Fuller