



COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference No. 34/U/69.

In the Matter of Pulk Hole, Fritton,  
Great Yarmouth District, Norfolk.

DECISION.

This reference relates to the question of the ownership of land known as Pulk Hole, Fritton, Great Yarmouth District, (formerly Lothingland Rural District) being the land comprised in the Land Section of Register Unit No. CL.67 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Norfolk (formerly in relation to this land the East Suffolk) County Council of which no person is registered under section 4 of the Commons Registration Act 1965 as the owner.

Following upon the public notice of this reference no person claimed to be the freehold owner of the land in question and no person claimed to have information as to its ownership.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the question of the ownership of the land at Norwich on 17 July 1975. At the hearing Fritton and St. Olaves Parish Council were represented by Mr. E.E. Eaton their chairman.

Mr. Eaton who has lived in the locality for the last 25 years and been chairman of the Parish Council for 4 years, said that the history of this land is the same as that of three other lands which were the subject of a decision of the Commons Commissioner in 1973 (all four lands were then in East Suffolk). The decision to which he referred (one of mine) is dated 19 October 1973 and bears reference Nos. 34/U/50, 51 and 52.

Mr. Eaton produced the 1814 Award mentioned in my 1973 decision and identified the land now in question as the piece No. 58 thereby allotted in the same way as the pieces Nos. 72, 35 and 36 allotted as set out in my decision.

For the reasons set out in my 1973 decision I am satisfied that the District Council is the owner of the land, and I shall accordingly direct the Norfolk County Council, as registration authority, to register Great Yarmouth District Council as the owner of the land under section 8(2) of the Act of 1965.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this 29<sup>th</sup> — day of July 1975.

a.a. Baden Fuller