



COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

25/D/79-95

In the Matter of Thornham Common, Thornham,
North Norfolk District, Norfolk

SECOND DECISION

This decision is supplemental to, and should therefore be read with my decision dated 18 December 1975.

After my said decision, the persons named in the first column of the First Schedule hereto wrote to the Clerk of the Commons Commissioners the letters specified in the second column of such Schedule, requesting me to vary my said decision, and Metcalfe Copeman and Pettefar in a letter dated 23 February 1976 requested me (pursuant to the liberty to apply granted by my said decision) to vary the Third Schedule to it.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into these requests (and also a similar request made by Mr Oldfield as mentioned in the said Schedule) at Norwich on 9 June 1976. At this hearing the persons who were (as stated in my said decision) represented by Miss S Cameron of counsel at the hearings in March 1974 (four days) and June 1975 (one day) were represented by Mr D Mitchell solicitor of Metcalfe Copeman and Pettefar, Solicitors of Wisbech, and the persons ("the 1976 S Claimants") who are named in the first column of the First Schedule hereto and who all claimed to be entitled to the rights in my said decision called Samphire Rights, attended in person (except Mrs Parker who was represented by Mr Parker who was also attending on his own behalf).

As regards the letter of 23 February 1976 from Metcalfe Copeman & Pettefar:- My said decision was based on an agreement produced to me at the last day (1975) of the hearings, and made between Mr Horton of counsel on behalf of Mr H B I Bett, and Miss Cameron of counsel on behalf of (a) the persons ("the Represented S Claimants") on whose application 51 Entries had been made in the Rights Section of Samphire Rights, and of (b) the Parish Council, Mr H H Middleton and Mr O Waterfield, who were interested in the proceedings for the reasons set out in my said decision. The Third Schedule to my said decision was intended by me to give effect to this agreement. The document with the letter of 23 February 1976 set out the respects in which this Schedule did not do so. Under cover of a letter dated 12 April 1976 the Clerk of the Commons Commissioners sent a draft revised Third Schedule to the interested parties, and in his covering letter raised certain minor questions relating to the description of certain lands. Mr Mitchell suggested a few alterations to this draft and dealt with the questions raised. A copy of the said draft incorporating these alterations is set out in the Second Schedule hereto.

In my opinion the Second Schedule hereto correctly gives effect to the agreement made between Mr Horton and Miss Cameron as above stated and accords with my intention on the last day of the hearing and when I wrote my said decision.



From their letters and from what was said at the 1976 hearing, I understood that the 1976 S Claimants contentions to be:—By my said decision, I validated 51 of the Entries of Samphire Rights made on the application of the Represented S Claimants; there is no significant difference between the circumstances of the 1976 S Claimants and the Represented S Claimants, and accordingly my decision was mistaken and is unjust, and I ought now to alter it by including the 7 Entries made on the application of the 1976 S Claimants and in the case of Mr Riseborough insert a new Entry for his benefit.

At the 1974 and 1975 hearings, the questions in issue related not only to the 116 Entries of Samphire Rights but also to other matters. These questions were complicated and difficult; after four days in 1974 of evidence and argument, at the request of those present, I adjourned the proceedings for discussion as to a possible compromise. At the 1975 hearing, counsel produced (as above stated) an agreement; it was a complicated document (20 typewritten pages). As regards the Samphire Rights, it had by 1975 become clear to those present at the hearing that rights for all the inhabitants of Thornham were either legally impossible or had not been and could not be proved; but it had not become clear that it was not legally possible in accordance with the evidence given to conclude that some of the inhabitants who occupied particular houses in Thornham could not legally have some such rights attached to their holdings. Under the agreement, the Entries made by the Represented S Claimants were to be modified so as to make them legally possible in accordance with the evidence given, and Mr Bett (subject to any rights of common there might be, he appeared to be the owner of 46/49ths of the Unit Land) conceded that they could be so modified and when so modified existed. In short the significant difference between the Represented S Claimants and the 1976 S Claimants is that the former were represented at the hearing and were by their counsel able to agree to their registrations being modified as she advised and were able to make the agreement then made, and the 1976 S Claimants not being represented could not become parties to any such agreement, and nobody could say whether they would be agreeable to ~~the~~ modifications in the Entries made on their application.

In my opinion I cannot now treat the 1976 S Claimants as if they were within the agreement made by counsel at the 1975 hearing, when it is quite clear that they were not. Mr Bett's solicitors in a letter dated 18 May 1976 say (in effect) that he objects to the claim now being made by the 1976 S Claimants, and there is I think no reason why he should now make some new agreement with them.

However this may be, when a person (such as a Commons Commissioner) is by an Act of Parliament required to give a decision, he cannot, once he has given a decision as a general rule alter it; the only remedy of any person aggrieved is to appeal as provided by the Act. So whether or not I was wrong in invalidating by my said decision the 65 Entries of Samphire Rights made on the application of those who were not represented before me, I cannot now alter my decision for the benefit of the 1976 S Claimants unless they can show that their case is within one of the recognised exceptions to the general rule above mentioned.



In one of the letters mentioned in the First Schedule hereto it was suggested that Miss Cameron of counsel in fact represented (or should have been treated as representing) the writer. Mr Mitchell produced the documents signed by the Represented S Claimants authorising his firm to act for them in the proceedings, and stated that they had received no such document from any of the 1976 S Claimants. At the 1976 hearing this suggestion was not pursued, and accordingly I am satisfied that these solicitors never had any authority to instruct Miss Cameron to appear for any of the 1976 S Claimants, and that she rightly omitted their names from the list of the applicants for Entries of Saphire Rights for whom she did appear.

One exception to the general rule above mentioned as to the conclusiveness of a decision given under an Act of Parliament, is contained in regulation 21 of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971, by which, where there has been a hearing in the absence of a person entitled to be heard (the 1976 S Claimants except Mr Riseborough were entitled to be heard), a Commissioner "may on an application made by that person...reopen the hearing and set aside any decision...if he is satisfied that that person had sufficient reason for his absence".

I must therefore now consider (i) whether any of the 1976 S Claimants had sufficient reason for their absence; and (ii) if they had whether I ought in the exercise of the discretion given to me by the regulation reopen the hearing and set aside my said decision, and if so on what terms.

In my opinion the word "absence" in this regulation means neither present in person nor represented by anyone. The 1976 S Claimants do not establish sufficient reason for their absence, merely by showing that by reason of circumstances associated with their employment they were unable personally to be present. The hearing received much publicity in Thornham and none of the 1976 S Applicants suggested that they did not know it was being held; in the circumstances as they appeared to me at the 1974 hearing and as described at the 1976 hearing, none of them would in my opinion have had any difficulty in arranging for some form of representation in their personal absence, and indeed the substance of the complaint made in the letters at the 1976 hearing was that they could but for the fault of the Parish Council have easily been represented in the same way as the Represented S Claimants.

The alleged default of the Parish Council was based on two circumstances:- First, when the 1965 Act came into force the Parish Council obtained a supply of forms of application for the registration of a right of common, and made them available at convenient places in the Village; it was contended (in effect) that by doing this and suggesting that the inhabitants should complete the form in relation to the Unit Land, they thereby undertook to arrange for the representation of any person who had completed the form at any hearing before a Commons Commissioner which might result. Secondly, the Parish Council (or some members of it) shortly before the 1974 hearing, considered that the case of the Parish Council would be helped if as many of the inhabitants as could attended the hearings in person (an omnibus was arranged for this purpose) and were represented at the hearing; so some members of the Parish Council visited those who they thought had made or might have made applications and asked them to sign a paper.



I reject the contention that the Parish Council by providing forms of application undertook any such liability; there could I think be no implication that every person who signed the form thereby authorised the Parish Council without any further reference to him to instruct on his behalf solicitors to act for him at some future proceeding, the nature of which could not then be foreseen.

As to the second contention, if the desire of the 1976 S Claimants to be represented at the hearing was dependent on the chance of some person happening to call upon them and happening to ask them to sign a paper, the circumstance that no such person called, or that the person who called found them not at home, or that the person who called failed to take effective action on the paper signed is in my opinion not a "sufficient reason" (within the meaning of regulation 21) for non-representation.

But even if I am wrong about this, I have under the regulation a discretion, which I must exercise having regard to the other persons interested. I cannot put the 1976 S Claimants in the same position as the Represented S Claimants, without reopening the 1974 and 1975 hearings and setting aside the whole of my said decision. If I set aside my decision in part, so far as it concerns the 1976 S Claimants, they would then have to establish Samphire Rights as they claim, unaided by any concession made by Mr Bett. The legal expenses of these hearings must have been large; if I were to set aside the decision in whole or in part it would be a great hardship to those who were represented at these hearings. So far as I have a discretion under the regulation, I refuse to exercise it for the benefit of the 1976 S Claimants whose desire to be represented was so small that they were not prepared to do anything unless somebody asked them to do so. The circumstance that others whose desire to be represented may have been essentially similar, by chance, have been represented and benefited, cannot I think put the 1976 S Claimants in a better position merely because for them the chance fell the other way.

I record that I would not exercise my discretion under regulation 21 until I was satisfied that those who requested me to do so had given proper notice to all persons who might be affected. Mr Bett as owner of 46/49th shares of the Unit Land would be affected by the land being burdened by additional Samphire Rights. Mr Parker by sending him a copy of the letters he had written to the Clerk of the Commons Commissioners, did not I think give him sufficient notice, and from what was said at the 1976 hearing, I could not proceed under regulation 21 until this procedural matter had been properly dealt with by all the 1976 S Claimants.

As I said at the 1976 hearing, I feel some sympathy for the 1976 S Claimants. They are perhaps unlucky in finding themselves in respect of their Entries on the wrong side of the line which divides the 116 Samphire Entries into those which have been and those which have not been validated. It was obvious at the 1974 hearing that some of these Entries could not be established by evidence or argument; however there was evidence and argument that some of the Entries could (if modified) be established. Under the agreement produced at the 1975 hearing, the dividing line was drawn by including all the Entries made by the Represented S Claimants. In the absence of any such agreement I would have had to consider the circumstances of each Entry separately; this would have prolonged the hearing considerably and would have increased the



legal expenses of those present far in excess of the cash value of such rights. I suppose that being desirous of avoiding these expenses, counsel advised that the dividing line should be drawn by including all the Represented S Claimants. I cannot see how they could have advised otherwise. In the result it may be that some persons have been successful although everyone in the Village knows that their claims were void of all merit; but this does not I think mean that the dividing line was drawn unjustly. It was perhaps rough justice, but nothing written or said at the 1976 hearing by or on behalf of the 1976 S Claimants persuades me that I was wrong to approve it. The 1976 S Claimants are I think mistaken in thinking (as some apparently did at or before the 1976 hearing) that I had any jurisdiction to award Samphire Rights over the Unit Land at my discretion; I am I think required by the 1965 Act to proceed in accordance with established legal principles.

For the above reasons I decline to take any action on the requests made to me by the 1976 S Claimants. And I should add as regards Mr Riseborough for the additional reason that even if he had been represented at the 1974-1975 hearings, I could not for his benefit have confirmed any registrations, because no Entry in the Rights Section was ever made on his application.

In the result therefore my said decision will take effect with the alteration that for the Third Schedule to it there shall be substituted the Second Schedule of this my second decision.

FIRST SCHEDULE

Names and address	Letters written to Clerk of the Commons Commissioners	Relevant Entry Nos (if any)
Mr Harry Sydney Parker and Mrs Lillian Olive Parker of 2 Malthouse, Thornham	24 January 15 February	51 and 32
Mr H G Riseborough of Malthouse Farm, Thornham	26 January 2 February 20 April	none
Mr Graham Edmund Larter Raven of Red Brick Farm, Thornham	25 January 16 February 22 February	89
Mr Eric James Green and Mrs Norah Joyce Green of Orchard Cottage, Drove House, Thornham	19 April	26 and 43

Note:- Mr H Oldfield of Blue Railings, Titchwell wrote with reference to Entry No 30 letters dated 26 January, 2 February and 20 April, but did not attend the 1976 hearing.

SECOND SCHEDULE

(Modifications of Entries in Rights Section which are confirmed)

NOTE 1:- In this Schedule (A) the words following "Column 4:-" indicate the words which are to be substituted for all the words against the relevant Entry now in column 4; (B) the words following "Column 5:-" indicate the words which are to be inserted in this column against the relevant Entry, such column being now blank; except where the words which so follow indicate "No change", so that the column will either continue blank as now or continue to contain the same words as now.

NOTE 2:- The plan used in the preparation of the supplemental map in relation to any modification mentioning "Jockey Beach", should be based on the plan which was on the last day of the hearing produced by Miss Cameron and Mr Horton of counsel and on which Jockey Beach is edged red. A copy of this plan will be annexed to the notice which a Commons Commissioner is by section 6 of the 1965 Act required to give to the registration authority to enable them to give effect to this decision on the Register. The red edging on this plan is to be treated for identification purposes only, so that the registration authority in drawing the supplemental map may follow as may be appropriate lines on the Ordnance Survey map which indicate where land ends and the sea or a channel to the sea begins.

NOTE 3:- The paragraphs in this Schedule headed with two or three Entry Nos are to be consolidated into one Entry, columns 2 and 3 of which will show the Entry as having been made on the application now specified in the Entry first mentioned in the heading, with a note that the same right(s) was (were) formerly also registered on the application(s) now specified in the (two) other Entry (Entries) mentioned in the heading.

(2) G L Howell and (27) E A Howell

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 11 Castle Cottages, Thornham.

(3) J M Lake

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Pine Cottage, High Street, Thornham.

(4) K G F A Lake and J Lake

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 15 Castle Cottages, Thornham.



(9) O Mann

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(colour to be chosen by registration authority) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration, sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 3 Green Lane, Thornham.

(18) R D Wadlow

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(colour to be chosen by registration authority) on the supplemental register map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 28 Castle Cottages, Thornham.

(23) C E Burrell

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Dorwyn, The Green, Thornham.

(24) D E Fenton and (77) C E Fenton

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 19 Castle Cottages, Thornham.

(25) D E Fryett and (67) J P Fryett

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 2 Clock Row, Main Road, Thornham.

(28) D G Johnson and (6) D M Johnson

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(colour to be chosen by registration authority) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Sea View, The Green, Thornham.



(29) R H Needham

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(colour to be chosen by registration authority) the supplemental register map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Pear Tree Cottage, Main Road, Thornham.

(33) D M Smith

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire and sea lavender.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 7 Castle Cottages, Thornham.

(35) T Whiting (85) A B Whiting and (86) S J Cock

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit, samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(colour to be chosen by registration authority) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 3 Shepherds Pightle, Thornham.

(38) J W Hunt

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Red Ridge, The Green, Thornham.

(40) B Groundsell

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 11 Green Lane, Thornham.

(49) A S and H Allin

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Twin Cottage, High Street, Thornham.

(52) J R Baker

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Homelea, The Green, Thornham.

(53) A M Buckingham

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this



register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as The Bungalow, High Street, Thornham.

(56) G F Watson

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 12 Green Lane, Thornham.

(57) A V Tipple

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as The Nest, High Street, Thornham.

(58) A E Walker

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land in the High Street, Thornham in 1968 on the north side of the High Street next to and west of the property known as Valentine Cottage, High Street, Thornham.

(61) M M Richardson

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 20 Castle Bungalows, Thornham.

(68) J F Goff and (69) V F Goff

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 1 Clock Tower, Thornham.



(70) M Gosling

Column 4:- (i) a right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Woodside, High Street, Thornham.

(80) G C Bussey

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, wildfowl and game, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown...(registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Honora, situate at the Green, Thornham.

(82) B H Bix

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land in the High Street, known as No 5 Johnsons Yard, Thornham.

(84) S Marshall

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire and sea lavender.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 21 Shepherds Pightle, Thornham.



(92) C Dunkerley and (78) S E Dunkerley

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, wildfowl and game bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Dix Cottage, High Street, Thorham.

(94) G and C Rix

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 1 Green Lane, Thornham.

(95) B, P E, W E and D W Sutherland

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land (a) known as 3 Clock Row, Thornham, (b) known as 1 West End, Thornham, (c) on the east side of Church Street next to and north of the Church, Thornham and (d) at School Row being the middle cottage in a row of seven on the north side of the High Street next to and west of the School, Thornham.

(100) R Sadler and (62) R F Sadler

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 2 Green Lane, Thornham.

(102) G W Siddle and (63) D S J Siddle

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, wildfowl and game bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- Buildings and land on the north side of the High Street next to and northwest of Thornham Auto Garage, Thornham.

(103) H A Cobb

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, wildfowl and game and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.



Column 5:- Buildings and land known as Hendon, Church Street, Thornham.

(107) G T J Middleton

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, wildfowl and game bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (colour to be chosen by registration authority) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- No change (now Coastguard Cottage, The Green, Thornham).

(108) P M G Howe

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, wildfowl and game bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (colour to be chosen by registration Authority) on the supplemental register map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- No change (now Oldfield Farm, The Green, Thornham.)

(109) R S and A M Bussey

Column 4:- (i) A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire, sea lavender, seaweed, bait and estovers; and (ii) a right to take from that part of the said land which is known as Jockey Beach and which is shown ... (registration authority to choose appropriate colour) on the supplemental map bearing the number of this registration sand and shingle.

Column 5:- No change (now Casablanca, The Green, Thornham)

(114) H M Middleton

Column 4:- One right of pasturage or cattlegate over the whole of the land comprised in this register unit to graze either (i) 2 cows or heifers, or (ii) 1 cow or heifer and 1 gelding, colt, mare, filly or female ass with or without a foal under 6 months old by the side of such mare or ass such right being combined with a one undivided fortyninth share in the land.

Column 5:- No change (now Haven Farm, Thornham).

(116) O Waterfield (Entry since 1 October 1975 replaced by Entry No 123 Thornham Parish Council)

Column 4:- One right of pasturage or cattlegate over the whole of the land comprised in this register unit to graze either (i) 2 cows or heifers, or (ii) 1 cow or heifer and 1 gelding, colt, mare, filly or female ass with or without a foal under 6 months old by the side of such mare or ass such right being combined with a one undivided fortyninth share in the land.

Column 5:- No change (before replaced as above stated Lifeboat Inn, Thornham).



(118) H W and G Wright

Column 4:- A right to take from the whole of the land comprised in this register unit samphire.

Column 5:- Buildings and land known as 2 Johnson's Yard, Main Road, Thornham.

(120) H B I Bett

Column 4:- Forty-six rights of pasturage or cattlegates over the whole of the land comprised in this register unit to graze either (i) 2 cows or heifers, or (ii) 1 cow or heifer and 1 gelding, colt, mare, filly or female ass with or without a foal under 6 months old by the side of such mare or ass each such right being combined with a one undivided forty-ninth share in the land.

Column 5:- No change (now blank).

(122) J, A N and M E Bunkle

Column 4:- One right of pasturage or cattlegate over the whole of the land comprised in this register unit to graze either (i) 2 cows or heifers, or (ii) 1 cow or heifer and 1 gelding, colt, mare, filly or female ass with or without a foal under 6 months old by the side of such mare or ass, such right being combined with a one undivided forty-ninth share in the land.

Column 5:- No change (now Seaview House, Thornham).

(123) Thornham Parish Council (Entry made 21.10.75 in place of Entry 116)

Column 4:- One right of pasturage or cattlegate over the whole of the land comprised in this register unit to graze either (i) 2 cows or heifers, or (ii) 1 cow or heifer and 1 gelding, colt, mare, filly or female ass with or without a foal under 6 months old by the side of such mare or ass such right being combined with a one undivided forty-ninth share in the land.

Column 5:- No change (now blank).

Dated this 17th day of June —

1976

a. a. Baden Fuller

Commons Commissioner

Corrected on page 6 by
substituting "K G A F Lake" for
"K G F A Lake" and on page 12
by substituting
"Hendon" for
"Hendon"

a. a. Baden Fuller