



In the Matter of Buckland Manor Common,  
Llandetty, Llanfeigan and Llanfrynach

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registration at Entry No. 1 in the Land Section and Entry Nos. 1-57 in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. CL 62 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Powys County Council and is occasioned by Objection No. 7 made by British Steel Corporation and other Objections noted in the Register.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Brecon on 21 February 1984. The hearing was attended by Mr Donald Jones, Chairman of the Buckland Manor Commoners Association, Mr David Hooper Land Agent for the Forestry Commission and Mr E K Dixon, Solicitor to the Taff Division of the Welsh Water Authority.

No one appeared to support Objection No. 7 but Mr Jones for the Association as the Applicants at Entry No. 1 in the Land Section conceded that the application should be modified by the exclusion of Area B as defined in the Objection.

The following Objections by the Association were withdrawn 702, 701, 225 and 229.

The applications in the Rights Section at Entry Nos. 47, 48, 50, 51, 54 and 58 were or had been withdrawn.

Mr Jones said that he had agreed with the Water Authority that I should be asked to confirm Entry No. 1 with the deletion of (3) 8360 sheep in Column 4.

*(in the Rights section)*

Mr Jones further stated that the acreage of the farm mentioned in Column 5 of Rights Entry No. 44 was 14.5 acres and I was invited to confirm that registration for 125 sheep and the applicant would deliver a new plan in support of Column 5.

For these reasons I confirm the following registrations (a) Entry 1 in the Land Section excluding area B referred to in Objection No. 7. (b) Entry Nos. 2-43, 46, 49, 52-53, 55-57 and 59 in the Rights Section and with the modifications already mentioned Entry Nos. 1 and 44 in the Rights Section, I refuse to confirm Entry Nos. 47, 48, 50, 51, 54 and 58 in the Rights Section.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

9<sup>th</sup>

day of

March

1984

*George Haslekin*

Commons Commissioner