



In the Matter of Stanhope Common, Stanhope
In the County of Durham

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registration at Entry Nos 2 6 14 (and 37) 16, 18 20 23 33 34 and 36 in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. 22 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Durham County Council and is occasioned as to the first eight by Objection No. 38 made by Dickinson Miller & Turnbull and noted in the Register on 1 November 1971 and as to the last two by Objection No. 51 made by Dawson, Arnott & Pickering and noted on the Register on 9 June 1972.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Durham on 11 November 1980. The hearing was attended by Mr Vane of Counsel instructed by Messrs. Dawson Arnott and Pickering Solicitors of Bishop Auckland appearing for Mr and Mrs Gelson the applicants at Entry No. 2, by Mr F D Pattison of Messrs. Hodgson and Angus Solicitors of Stanhope appearing for Mr J N Kinton and Mr G A Jopling the applicants at Entries Nos 16 and 20 respectively and by Mr R H M Hargreaves of Messrs. Dickinson, Dees and Co., Solicitors of Newcastle Upon Tyne, Solicitors appearing for the Objections to the first eight applications.

The Objection to the applications at Entry Nos 34 and 36 had been withdrawn by letter to the Commons Commissioners dated 21 October 1980.

I was informed that the parties had agreed as follows:

Entry No. 2	Objection withdrawn
Entry No. 6	Application withdrawn
Entry No.14 (now 37)	Objection withdrawn applicant now Mrs Margaret Gilmore
Entry No.16	Objection withdrawn on claim being reduced to 180 ewes
Entry No.18	Objection withdrawn
Entry No.20	Objection withdrawn on claim being reduced to 60 ewes
Entry No.23	Objection withdrawn subsequent to deletion of the words "and 10 cattle"
Entry No.33.	Objection withdrawn on claim being reduced to 100 ewes

For these reasons I confirm all the registrations with the above mentioned (except No. 6) modifications:- set out in the previous paragraph.

I am required by regulation 30 (1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

1st

day of

December

1980

James Hargreaves

Commons Commissioner