



COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference No. 272/D/1596-1597

In the Matter of the tracts of land known as Silian Common
in the Parish of Silian

SUPPLEMENTARY DECISION

This decision is supplemental to my decision in this matter ("the main decision") dated 27 March 1995.

It is made following a letter dated 29 March from Mr Gareth Owen, solicitor, who represented Mr A R Evans and others at the hearing.

In the first paragraph on page 3 of the main decision I said that the supplemental map there mentioned "includes the part of the northern land shown cross-hatched on the plan attached to this decision and it is for this reason that a conflict is said to arise in the Register as regards the northern land".

In his letter Mr Owen said that the part of the north land cross-hatched on the plan attached to the main decision "was never included in any claim made by Mr Edwards in his Rights application and never formed part of the supplemental map". (The Mr Edwards referred to is the late David John Edwards). Having reconsidered the Register Map showing the common land included in this unit (CL.1) and the supplemental map showing the area referred to in the 5th column of Entry No. 12 of the Rights Section I find that a small part of the north land as shown on the supplemental map does overlap the unit land as shown on the Register Map but that this overlap is very slight and not nearly as extensive as the part of the north land shown cross-hatched on the plan annexed to the main decision.

I also find that this slight overlap so clearly results from an error made in the preparation of the supplemental map that the error should be ignored when determining the land for the benefit of which Entry No. 12 exists: and that accordingly no conflict exists in regard to the north land.

A separate matter is that there are 2 clerical errors in the main decision. First, in the paragraph numbered 2 on page 6 "inferred" should be substituted for "inforced": secondly, in the penultimate paragraph on page 7, "Entry No. 12" should be substituted for "Entry No. 11".

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

27

day of

April

1995

Commons Commissioner