

COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965

Reference No.212/U/298

In the Matter of Verge by New Farm and all of-Up End and Roper's Lane, Langley, Uttlesford D

## DECISION

This reference relates to the question of the ownership of land described above being the land comprised in the Land Section of Register Unit No.CL.109 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Essex County Council of which no person is registered under section 4 of the Commons Registration Act 1965 as the owner.

Following upon the public notice of this reference Mr R E Dimsdale claimed to be the freehold owner of the land in question ("the unit land") and no other person claimed to have information as to its ownership.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the question of the ownership of the unit land at Chelmsford on 19 July 1983. At the hearing, Mr Dimsdale appeared in person, and no other claimant appeared.

The Unit Land consists of a narrow strip described in the Register as pieces of land called Roper's Lane and Up End, as marked on the register map. Mr Dimsdale claims ownership of all the unit land except a small section at the eastern end, opposite New Farm and lying south of the junction between Roper's Lane and a roadway branching North East to Lower Green. This section I will refer at as "The New Farm Section".

By a vesting deed dated 2nd July 1964 there was conveyed to Mr Dimsdale property known as The Scales Park Estate. This included Woodlands Roads Lanes and Waste, numbered in the Schedule to the vesting deed. I have seen copies of plans of the Estate bearing corresponding numbers, from the which the unit land, apart from the New Farm Section, can be identified as part of the property comprise the vesting deed.

On this evidence I am satisfied that Mr Robert Edward Dimsdale is the owner of the unit land, except the New Farm Section. I shall accordingly direct the Essex County Council as registration authority, to register him as the owner under section 8 (2) of the Act of 1965. The New Farm Section, as to the ownership of which I am not satisfied will remain subject to protection under section 9 of the Act of 1965.

I am required by regulation 30 (1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

day of Eptember 1983

L.J. Wronis Swith
Commons Commissioner