

In the Matter of Eastbury Hill Common, Cradley, Hereford and Worcester

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registrations at Entry Nos 1 and 2 in the Rights section of Register Unit No. CL 105 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Hereford and Worcester County Council and is occasioned by Objection No 410 made by Mrs M A Higgins and noted in the Register on 2 December 1971.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Worcester on 1 and 2 December 1977. The hearing was attended by Mr P C Davis, solicitor, on behalf of Mr G E Evans, the applicant for the registration at Entry No 2. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Mrs G E H Tustin, the applicant for the registration at Entry No 1.

The land comprised in the Register Unit is now planted with trees. described as "common" in the tithe apportionment of 1841. Although this indicates that the land was then subject to some right or rights of common, it is not evidence of the existence of the rights claimed by either of the Such rights must be proved by specific evidence.

Mr Evans is the owner of a cottage and garden with an area of 0.251 acres, the northern boundary of which adjoins the land comprised in the Register Unit. This was conveyed to Mr Evans by a conveyance made 16 November 1955 between (1) John David Lambourn (2) George Edward Evans and Wolstan Derek Evans. parcels of this conveyance include (only so far as the Vendor had the power to grant the same) all rights of common and commonable rights whatsoever to the property conveyed belonging or appertaining upon or over (inter alia) the land comprised in this Register Unit. This property was formerly part of a larger property having an area of la. lr. lp., which was conveyed with the same words regarding rights of common and commonable rights by an indenture dated 22 May 1940. The title goes back to an indenture dated 22 May 1920, in which there is no mention of rights of common and commonable rights. Since he purchased his property Mr Evans has never been to the land in question.

There is therefore no evidence to support either of the registrations, and I therefore refuse to confirm them.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this 24 day of Garmany

Chief Commons Commissioner