

Reference No. 276/D/824-5

In the Matter of Llysdinam Hill, Llysdinam

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registration at Entry Nos. 1-20 in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. CL 81 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Powys County Council and is occasioned by Objection, No. 210, 129-135 and 446 made by the Trustees of the Glanusk Settlement and noted in the Register.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Brecon on 22 February 1984. The hearing was attended by Mr J F Bengough of Messrs Knight, Frank and Rutley, Chartered Surveyors and Land Agents of Hereford appearing for the Objectors and Messrs Sydney G Thomas and Co, Solicitors of Builth Wells appearing for the applicants at Entry Nos. 11, 13 and 21 (formerly 14).

Mr Bengough informed me that he had communicated with all the applicants or their representatives and it was agreed that all claims to take peat or gravel area. The deleted from the register and that the right to take bracken (where claimed) to limited to bracken used on each dominant tenement and that I should be asked to. confirm all the registrations other than at Entry Nos. 10 and 22 subject to the further modifications in Column 4 of the Register set out below:-

- Substitute 100 for 200 Entry No.
 - Substitute 6 sheep for 10 sheep
 - Delete the figure '7' twice and substitute the figure '6' for '10' 11
 - Substitute '80' for '100'. Delete the figure 10 twice and in the second case substitute the figure '6'
 - Delete figure '30' twice and substitute 6 for 10
 - Substitute '100' for '200' and add after ' ... pro rata' the words 'l pony or beast equals 6 sheep'
 - Substitute '100' for '140' and '6' for 10 16
 - Delete figure '19' twice and substitute 6 for 10
 - Substitute figure '50' for '70'
 - Substitute figure '6' for '10' 19
 - Substitute figure '6' for '10' 20
 - Substitute figure '6' for '10'

The application is at Entry No. 10 is a duplicate of part of Entry No. 5 and is to be deleted.

For these reasons I confirm the registrations at Entry Nos. 1-9, 11-13, 15-21 with the modifications already mentioned and I refuse to confirm the registrations at Entry Nos. 10 and 22.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

9 16

day of March

1984

Commons Commissioner

COMMONS REGISTRATION ACT 1965



In the Matter of Llwyn Madoc Hill including Carnau Llanfihangel Abergwesyn and Llanafan Fawr

DECISION

This dispute relates to the registration at Entry No. 4, 7 and 8 in the Rights Section of Register Unit No. CL 98 in the Register of Common Land maintained by the Powys County Council and is occasioned by Objections made by the Trustees of the Glanusk Estate and noted in the Register.

I held a hearing for the purpose of inquiring into the dispute at Brecon on 22 February 1984. The hearing was attended by Mr J F Bengough of Messrs Knight Frank and Rutley, Chartered Surveyors and Land Agents of Hereford who appeared for the Objectors and Mr Davis of Messrs Milwyn, Jenkins and Jenkins, Solicitors of Llanidloes appearing for Mr Lewis the applicant at Entry No. 4. Mr Bengough informed me that the application at Entry No. 4 had been withdrawn, the Objection to Entry No. 8 had been withdrawn and that it was agreed that I should be invited to confirm the registration at Entry No. 7 subject to the right to take peat and turf being qualified by the words 'for denative purposes only'.

For these reasons I confirm the registrations at Entry Nos. 7 and 8 with the modification of the registration at Entry No. 7 already mentioned and I refuse to confirm the registration at Entry No. 4.

I am required by regulation 30(1) of the Commons Commissioners Regulations 1971 to explain that a person aggrieved by this decision as being erroneous in point of law may, within 6 weeks from the date on which notice of the decision is sent to him, require me to state a case for the decision of the High Court.

Dated this

965

day of

Ranch

1984

Commons Commissioners

lange Hashet